



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/981,906	10/19/2001	Marc LeFevre	10013125-1	7302	
7	7590 01/12/2005			EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			SHAH, N	SHAH, NILESH R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2127		
			DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005	DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/981,906	LEFEVRE ET AL.			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Nilesh Shah	2127			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	N⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 October 2001.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)[10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachma-						
Attachmen 1) ⊠ Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 09/981,906 Page 2

Art Unit: 2127

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-13 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ratnaraj et al (6,185,567) (hereinafter Ratnaraj) in view of Lipner et al (5,210,795) (hereinafter Lipner).
- 4. As per claim 1, Ratnaraj teaches the invention substantially as claimed including a method for configuring a target device, comprising the steps of: receiving a log-in request to connect the target device to a host, wherein the log-in request includes a host designator (col. 3 lines 7 lines 16); determining if there is a match of the log-in request host designator to a host designator in the table(col. 3 lines 46-50; col. 5 lines 5-8; (col. 9 lines 25-34).
- 5. Ratnaraj does not specifically teach the use of an selecting an operating system.

Application/Control Number: 09/981,906 Page 3

Art Unit: 2127

Lipner teaches accessing a table of host designators and associated O/S types (col. 5 lines 45-63); and;

selecting an O/S type protocol associated with the match to the host designator(col. 5 lines 45-63). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Lipner and Ratnaraj because Lipner's use of being able to select an operating system would improve Ratnaraj's system by allowing for each user to be able to change from different operating system and to make sure the loaded operating system is not corrupt.

- 6. As per claim 2, Ratnaraj teaches a method wherein the host designator is a worldwide name (col. 1 lines 10-13).
- 7. As per claim 3, Lipner teaches a method further comprising the step of determining if a mode parameter is set for a default O/S protocol; and selecting that default O/S protocol unless there is a match of the log- in request host designator in the table (col. 5 lines 45-63).
- 8. As per claim 4, Lipner teaches a method, further comprising the step of receiving a command from the host; and determining if the command is an O/S dependent command; and wherein the step of accessing the table is only performed if the received command is an O/S dependent command (col. 1 lines 60-67; col. 5 lines 45-63).

Art Unit: 2127

- 9. As per claim 5, Lipner teaches a method further comprising the step of storing the table in non-volatile memory in the target device (col. 1 lines 61-64).
- 10. As per claim 6, Lipner teaches a method wherein the target device is a memory array (col. 2 lines 56-62; col. 1 lines 61-64).
- 11. Claim 7 is rejected based on claim 1 above.
- 12. Claim 8 is rejected based on claim 2 above.
- 13. Claim 9 is rejected based on claim 5 above.
- 14. Claim 10 is rejected based on claim 4 above.
- 15. Claim 11 is rejected based on claim 1 above.
- 16. Claim 12 is rejected based on claim 4 above.
- 17. Claim 13 is rejected based on claim 3 above.

Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nilesh Shah whose telephone number is (571)272-3771.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (571)272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nilesh Shah Examiner Art Unit 2127

NS January 5, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100